arXiv:hep-th/9303094v1 17 Mar 1993

UCLA/92/TEP/36

Geometrical origin of integrability for Liouville and Toda theory[⋆]

Kenichiro Aoki and Eric D'Hoker[†]

Department of Physics
University of California Los Angeles
Los Angeles, California 90024–1547

ABSTRACT

We generalize the Lax pair and Bäcklund transformations for Liouville and Toda field theories as well as their supersymmetric generalizations, to the case of arbitrary Riemann surfaces. We make use of the fact that Toda field theory arises naturally and geometrically in a restriction of so called W–geometry to ordinary Riemannian geometry. This derivation sheds light on the geometrical structure underlying complete integrability of these systems.

[⋆] Invited talk presented at the 877th meeting of the American Mathematical Society, USC, November 1992 and at the YITP workshop "Directions on Quantum Gravity", Kyoto, November 1992. Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation grant NSF–PHY–89–15286.

[†] Electronic mail addresses: `aoki@physics.ucla.edu`, `dhoker@uclahep.bitnet`.

1. Introduction.

It has long been known that certain $1+1$ dimensional classical field theories are completely integrable. This property has been characterized in a wide variety of ways, including the existence of an infinite number of conserved charges, the existence of a Bäcklund transformation, the existence of a Lax pair, the solvability by the inverse scattering method and so on.[†] Perhaps the most fundamental characterization of all is that the field equations for the system arise as the flatness condition on a certain connection or gauge field. If this is so, then a Lax pair may always be deduced, and from it a Bäcklund transformation, the inverse scattering solution and infinite numbers of conserved charges. Given an equation though, it is in general far from clear how to conclude whether a given system is a flatness condition on some connection. Many attempts at finding such an algorithm have been made, but at present it is unclear that any useful procedure indeed exists.

Short of a decisive test on complete integrability, one may proceed from the opposite direction, and trace back the existence of a Lax pair to the geometrical context in which the completely integrable system arises. It has long been suggested that all these integrable systems are special cases of the self–duality equations on four dimensional gauge fields, equations that are known to be completely integrable [2]. Beautiful as this connection may be, it is also perhaps too general, and gives little clue as to why specifically any system arises as a reduction of the self–duality equations.

In some recent work, it was shown that Liouville theory [3] on a general background geometry, arises as a constant curvature condition, which in turn is related to a flatness condition on an $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ connection [4] as discovered in the group manifold approach [5], as well as in topological field theory considerations [6,7]. The corresponding Lax pair is given by the parallel transport equation under this $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ connection [4]. This derivation is easily generalized to the case of $N=1$ super–Liouville theory, where the relevant gauge group is $OSp(1,1)$ [4].

A natural extension to include the case of Toda field theory coupled to an arbitrary background geometry, requires the extension of 2–dimensional Riemannian geometry to W–geometry [8], in which in addition to the spin 2 metric, additional higher spin fields are coupled. Toda field theory, here arises as a natural reduction of general W–geometry to ordinary 2–d Riemannian geometry, and the flat connection naturally arises as the Maurer–Cartan form on higher rank groups, generalizing $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$, or its supersymmetric generalizations [9].

We shall review and slightly extend the above results in these lectures.

[†] For some of the original work and standard reviews, see [1].

2. Liouville Theory and 2–d Riemannian Geometry

The classical Liouville equation, on an arbitrary background geometry, determines the Weyl factor that scales an arbitrary 2–d metric to a constant curvature metric; and follows from the Weyl transformations properties of the curvature. The Liouville equation

$$\Delta_{\hat{g}}\phi + R_{\hat{g}} + \mu^2 e^{2\phi} = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

is equivalent to

$$R_g + \mu^2 = 0 \qquad\qquad g_{mn} = e^{2\phi}\hat{g}_{mn} \tag{2.2}$$

where μ^2 is a real constant.

Two dimensional geometry is parameterized by† a frame (or zweibein) $e^a = d\xi^m e_m{}^a$ and a $U(1)$ spin connection $\omega = d\xi^m\omega_m$. Torsion and curvature are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T^a &= de^a + e^b \wedge \omega\epsilon_b{}^a = \frac{1}{2}d\xi^n \wedge d\xi^m T_{mn}{}^a \\ R &= d\omega = \frac{1}{2}d\xi^n \wedge d\xi^m R_{mn} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Covariant derivatives acting on tensors of weight n are defined by

$$D_a{}^{(n)} \equiv e_a{}^m(\partial_m + in\omega_m)$$

so that the Laplacian on scalars takes the form

$$\Delta_g = -2D_{\bar{z}}D_z{}^{(0)}$$

Weyl transformations are defined by

$$e_m{}^a = e^{\phi}\hat{e}_m{}^a, \qquad \omega_m = \hat{\omega}_m + \epsilon_m{}^p\partial_p\phi \tag{2.4}$$

under which torsion and curvature transform as

$$T^a = e^{\phi}\hat{T}^a \qquad\qquad R_g = R_{\hat{g}}e^{-2\phi} + \Delta_g\phi \tag{2.5}$$

Zero torsion and constant curvature are equivalent to Liouville's equation (2.1), as can be seen from (2.2). We now show that these condition arise as a flatness condition on an $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ valued connection.

---

† Einstein indices are denoted by $m, n, \cdots$ and frame indices by $a, b\cdots$. Frame indices split up into complex conjugates under $U(1)$ frame rotations $a = (z,\bar{z})$ where $\delta_{z\bar{z}} = \delta_{\bar{z}z} = 1$, $\epsilon_z{}^z = -\epsilon_{\bar{z}}{}^{\bar{z}} = i$. The metric is given by $g_{mn} = e_m{}^a e_n{}^b\delta_{ab}$ and the Gaussian curvature by $R_g = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{mn}R_{mn}$.

Consider the algebra G, with generators J_3, J_z and $J_{\bar{z}}$, satisfying

$$[J_3, J_z] = J_z \quad ; \quad [J_3, J_{\bar{z}}] = -J_{\bar{z}}; \qquad [J_z, J_{\bar{z}}] = -2\lambda_z\lambda_{\bar{z}} J_3 \tag{2.6}$$

The fundamental representation can be written in terms of 2×2 Pauli matrices:

$$J_3 = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^3; \qquad J_z = \frac{\lambda_z}{2}(\sigma^1 + i\sigma^2); \qquad J_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\lambda_{\bar{z}}}{2}(-\sigma^1 + i\sigma^2) \tag{2.7}$$

We define a G–valued gauge field, constructed from the frame e^a and the $U(1)$ spin connection ω by assembling these fields as follows

$$\mathcal{A} = -i\omega J_3 + e^z J_z + e^{\bar{z}} J_{\bar{z}} \tag{2.8}$$

The curvature form is easily evaluated

$$\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} = (-iR - 2\lambda_z\lambda_{\bar{z}} e^z \wedge e^{\bar{z}})J_3 + T^z J_z + T^{\bar{z}} J_{\bar{z}} \tag{2.9}$$

Setting $\mathcal{F} = 0$ yields $T^a = 0$ and constant curvature as in (2.2) with $\mu^2 = -2\lambda_z\lambda_{\bar{z}}$. Notice that this algebra in $SU(2)$ for $\mu^2 < 0, SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ for $\mu^2 > 0$ and the 2–dimensional Euclidean group $E(2)$ for $\mu^2 = 0$.

Geometrically, the significance of the flatness condition $\mathcal{F} = 0$ is as follows. When $\mathcal{F} = 0$, $\mathcal{A}$ is the Maurer-Cartan form on G, parameterized by the underlying Riemann surface. This form always exists globally on the surface. Upon projection onto the symmetric space $G/U(1)$, the $U(1)$ generator becomes the spin connection on the coset space, and the generators complementary to $U(1)$ become the frame on the coset space. In fact, more generally, higher dimensional conditions for symmetric spaces can be expressed as flatness condition as well [10].

By construction, a flatness condition $\mathcal{F} = 0$ is the integrability condition on a system of first order differential equations, namely the equations for parallel transport. This provides us right away with the correct expressions for the Lax pair. Introducing *e.g.* a G–doublet field ψ, we consider the system of first order linear differential equations in ψ

$$(\partial_m + \mathcal{A}_m)\,\psi = 0 \qquad\qquad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.10}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_m$ is given in (2.8). This system is integrable when $\mathcal{F}_{mn} = 0$, which, as shown above, is precisely equivalent to Liouville's equation. This can be seen even more clearly by first performing the Weyl rescaling (2.4) on (2.8), yielding the following Lax pair for Liouville theory on a general 2–d background geometry, given by frame $\hat{e}_m{}^a$ and $U(1)$ spin connection $\hat{\omega}_m$:

$$\Big(\partial_m - i\hat{\omega}_m J_3 - i\epsilon_m{}^p\partial_p\phi J_3 + e^{\phi}\hat{e}_m{}^z J_z + e^{\phi}\hat{e}_m{}^{\bar{z}} J_{\bar{z}}\Big)\,\psi = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

By identification with the Lax pair for Toda field theory on flat space-time, we see that $\lambda = \lambda_z/\lambda_{\bar{z}}$ plays the role of a spectral parameter, which is always real for real μ^2. This parameter emerges in a natural group theoretical way within this context.

From the Lax pair, we recover the Bäcklund transformation in a well–known way by introducing the field $\sigma : \psi_2 = e^{\sigma}\psi_1$ and we get

$$\partial_m\sigma + i\hat{\omega}_m + i\epsilon_m{}^p\partial_p\phi = \lambda_{\bar{z}}\hat{e}_m{}^{\bar{z}}e^{(\phi-\sigma)} + \lambda_z\hat{e}_m{}^z e^{(\phi+\sigma)} \tag{2.12}$$

The integrability condition on this system viewed as an equation for σ is precisely the Liouville equation (2.1), and if viewed as an equation for ϕ, the integrability condition is a linear equation in σ:

$$\Delta_{\hat{g}}\sigma = \hat{\epsilon}^{mn}\partial_m(\hat{\epsilon}_n{}^p\hat{\omega}_p) \tag{2.13}$$

The Lax pair and Bäcklund transformations reduce to the ones for flat background geometry, and again allow for a complete explicit solution of (2.1) [3].

The flatness condition, and the equation for parallel transport may be derived from an action principle.

$$S = \int \mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{N}\mathcal{F} \tag{2.14}$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is an auxiliary field in the (co) adjoint representation of the algebra. Upon eliminating $\mathcal{N}^z$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\bar{z}}$, torsion is set to zero, and the remaining action coincides with the one proposed for 2–d gravity by Jackiw and Teitelboim [6].

3. Two–dimensional Dilaton Gravity.

The $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ connection of (2.8) may be generalized by addition of a field "a" multiplying a generator I that commutes with all J's [11]:

$$\mathcal{A} = -i\omega J_3 + e^z J_z + e^{\bar{z}} J_{\bar{z}} + aI \tag{3.1}$$

and we postulate the following structure relations for G, satisfying the Jacobi identity:

$$[J_3, J_z] = J_z; \qquad [J_3, J_{\bar{z}}] = -J_{\bar{z}}; \qquad [J_z, J_{\bar{z}}] = \mu^2 J_3 + \lambda I; \qquad [J_a, I] = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

For $\mu^2 \neq 0$, one may redefine the generator J_3 by $\mu^2\tilde{J}_3 = \mu^2 J_3 + \lambda I$ so that the algebra is easily recognized as $GL(2,\mathbb{R})$ or $U(2)$ in the compact case. However for the two dimensional Poincaré algebra ($\mu^2 = 0$), such a redefinition is not possible, and we have a non–trivial central extension of this Poincaré group. The curvature of $\mathcal{A}$ is:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left(-iR + \mu^2 e^z \wedge e^{\bar{z}}\right) J_3 + T^z J_z + T^{\bar{z}} J_{\bar{z}} + \left(da + \lambda e^z \wedge e^{\bar{z}}\right) I \tag{3.3}$$

The field equations $\mathcal{F} = 0$ have the following interpretation: for $\mu^2 = 0$, the interesting case, the geometry is flat ($R = 0$) Riemannian ($T = 0$) and the one form field "a" obeys an interesting equation as well. Under a Weyl transformation as in (2.4), these equations reduce to those of 2–dimensional dilaton gravity [12].

4. Toda Field theory and Two–Dimensional W–geometry

The study of W–geometry has begun only recently, and there are a number of different formulations which are presumably equivalent. W–geometry, also called W–gravity in physics literature, may be defined in terms of an action principle, in analogy with ordinary two–dimensional gravity [7]

$$S = \int \mathrm{tr}\, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{F} \qquad\qquad \mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \tag{4.1}$$

but now the fields $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ assume values in a more general Lie algebra G.

We define a Lie algebra G (not necessarily finite dimensional) by the following Chevalley relations

$$[h_i, h_j] = 0, \qquad [h_i, x_{\pm\alpha_j}] = \pm k_{ij} x_{\pm\alpha_j}, \qquad [x_{\alpha_i}, x_{-\alpha_j}] = \delta_{ij} h_i \tag{4.2}$$

Here the generators of the Cartan subalgebra H are denoted by h_i and the positive (negative) simple roots by x_{α_i} ($x_{-\alpha_i}$) for $i = 1, \cdots, r (\equiv \mathrm{rank}\, G)$. To find all the roots, one successively commutes the simple roots, using the constraints of the Jacobi identity and the Serre relations

$$Ad_{x_{\alpha_i}}^{(1-k_{ij})} x_{\alpha_j} = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

Equivalently, given the set of all roots Δ, one has

$$[x_\beta, x_\gamma] = N_{\beta\gamma} x_{\beta+\gamma} \text{ if } x_{\beta+\gamma} \in \Delta \tag{4.4}$$

and $N_{\beta\gamma} = 0$ otherwise. As a result, any root is a linear combination of the r simple roots, with integer coefficients which are all positive (negative) for positive (negative) roots: $\gamma = \sum_i \gamma^i \alpha_i$. The height η of a root is defined by

$$\eta(\gamma) = \sum_i \gamma^i \tag{4.5}$$

It vanishes on the Cartan subalgebra, and equals 1 on any simple (positive) root.

To define topological W–geometry, we introduce a general G–valued connection [13,9]

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_i \omega^i h_i + \sum_{\gamma\in\Delta} e^\gamma x_\gamma \tag{4.6}$$

Here, ω^i are the components of the Abelian connection with gauge group H, and e^γ are the generalizations of the frame on the Riemann surface. As a generalization of ordinary Riemannian geometry, W–geometry contains 2–d Riemannian geometry; this is easily seen from the fact that any semi–simple Lie algebra has an $SU(2)$ subalgebra. The embedding of 2–d Riemannian geometry into W–geometry is not unique in general, and has to be specified [13].

$$e^{\alpha_i} = P_i e^z \quad ; \quad e^{-\alpha_i} = P_i e^{\bar{z}} \quad ; \quad e^\gamma = 0 \text{ if } |\eta(\gamma)| \geq 2 \quad ; \quad P_i\left(i\sum_j \omega^j k_{ji} - \rho_i \omega \right) = 0 \tag{4.7}$$

Under the maximal embedding $P_i = \rho_i = 1$ for all i, the spin of the additional fields is simply related to the height of the corresponding root by $\text{spin}(e^\gamma) = |\eta(\gamma)| + 1$.[†]

The geometrical interpretation is that $\mathcal{A}$ is a connection in the bundle G with structure group H over the manifold G/H. The latter is always Kähler, so that the field contents of W–geometry may be viewed as resulting from embedding a Riemann surface into a Kähler manifold G/H, or equivalently from dimensional reduction of the manifold G/H to a Riemann surface. Analogous embedding problems and their relation to Toda systems were considered in [14].

The field strength of the connection $\mathcal{A}$ may be recast in terms of the frame field e^γ and connection ω^i,

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_i d\omega^i h_i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma\in\Delta} e^\gamma \wedge e^{-\gamma}[x_\gamma, x_\gamma] + \sum_{\gamma\in\Delta}\left[de^\gamma + \sum_{i,j}\omega^i k_{ij}\gamma^j \wedge e^\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{\gamma',\gamma'' \\ \gamma'+\gamma''=\gamma}} e^{\gamma'}\wedge e^{\gamma''} N_{\gamma'\gamma''}\right] \tag{4.8}$$

The first line on the right of (4.8) contains all the curvature terms in the Cartan subalgebra, whereas in the second line we have the contributions proportional to the roots. The dynamics of W–gravity governed by action (4.1) yields $\mathcal{F} = 0$, and this condition yields constant curvature–type conditions from the generators in the Cartan subalgebra, and torsion like conditions from the roots. Note however that the torsion–type conditions are non–linear in the frame fields. W–geometry is analogous to supergeometry in which torsion is not generally zero, but certain components are (covariantly) constant.

[†] The addition of 1 results from the conversion of Einstein indices into frame indices, analogous to isospin–spin transmutation.

Toda field theory corresponds to a very natural special case of the general gauge field (4.6), in which the generators corresponding to simple positive and negative roots only are retained, together with those in the Cartan subalgebra, and all other are set to zero [9]. This condition is a generalization of the maximal embedding of ordinary 2–d Riemannian geometry but where now we allow for arbitrary complex scale factors ϕ_i:

$$e^{\alpha_i} = \exp(\phi_i) e^{z} \qquad e^{-\alpha_i} = \exp(\phi_i) e^{\bar z} \qquad i \sum_j \omega^j k_{ji} = \omega \rho_i + e^a {\epsilon_a}^b D_b \phi_i \tag{4.9}$$

for $i, j = 1, \cdots, r$ and $e^\gamma = 0$ when $|\eta(\gamma)| \geq 2$. That this Ansatz is consistent can be shown from the expression for the curvature in this case:

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_i e^{\bar z} \wedge e^{z} \left[- \left(D_z \omega^i_{\bar z} - D_{\bar z} \omega^i_z + \exp(2\phi_i) - {T_{z\bar z}}^a \omega^i_a \right) h_i + e^{\phi_i} {T_{z\bar z}}^z x_{\alpha_i} + e^{\phi_i} {T_{z\bar z}}^{\bar z} x_{-\alpha_i} \right] \tag{4.10}$$

Thus for r unknown fields ϕ_i, we have r equations, together with the torsion constraints of the two dimensional background geometry. These r fields satisfy the Toda field equations [15] on a two dimensional geometry with frame e^a and $U(1)$ spin connection ω:

$$\Delta_g \phi_i + \sum_j \exp(2\phi_j) k_{ji} + R_g \rho_i = 0 \tag{4.11}$$

Recall that the Toda equations are Weyl or conformal invariant for any underlying Lie algebra:

$$g_{mn} \to g_{mn} e^{2\sigma}; \qquad \phi_i \to \phi_i + \sigma \tag{4.12}$$

However, when G is a Kac–Moody algebra, the Cartan matrix has rank $r-1$ and there is an eigenvector with zero eigenvalue, denoted by n^i. As a result, the particular combination $\phi = \sum_i n_i \phi^i$ satisfies a free field equation

$$\Delta_g \phi + R_g \rho_i n^i = 0 \tag{4.13}$$

Elimination of this field results in breaking of the Weyl invariance for the remaining equation. In this way for example, one obtains the famous sine–Gordon equation for $G = S\hat{U}(2)$. To see this, we define

$$g_{mn} = e^{-\phi_1 - \phi_2} \hat{g}_{mn} \quad ; \qquad \varphi = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_1 - \phi_2) \tag{4.14}$$

so that φ satisfies a generalization of the sine-Gordon equation to a general background geometry.

$$\Delta_{\hat{g}} \varphi + 4 \sinh 2\varphi + R_{\hat{g}} = 0 \tag{4.15}$$

This equation is no longer Weyl invariant.

The Lax pair is identified as the equation for parallel transport under the G–connection $\mathcal{A}$ in some representation of G [9]:

$$(\partial_m + \mathcal{A}_m)\, \psi = 0 \tag{4.16}$$

In terms of frame index notation we get a set of very simple expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D_z^{(0)} + \sum_i \omega_z^i h_i + \sum_i \exp(\phi_i) x_{\alpha_i} \right) \psi = 0 \\ \left(D_{\bar{z}}^{(0)} + \sum_i \omega_{\bar{z}}^i h_i + \sum_i \exp(\phi_i) x_{-\alpha_i} \right) \psi = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

These equations now provide a Lax pair for Toda field theory on an arbitrary Riemann surface. Spectral parameters arise as in Liouville theory. For G a Kac–Moody algebra, the field ϕ may be eliminated from the Lax pair as well.

From the Lax pair, we construct the Bäcklund transformation [9] by passing from homogeneous coordinates ψ of a linear representation of G to inhomogeneous coordinates of a non–linear realization of G. We shall now examine how this is done for an arbitrary (finite–dimensional) representation μ with highest weight vector μ, and highest weight $|0;\mu\rangle$ [16]. All other weights are built by applying lowering operators:

$$|j_1 \cdots j_p; \mu\rangle = x_{-\alpha_{j_p}} \cdots x_{-\alpha_{j_1}} |0; \mu\rangle \tag{4.18}$$

where it is understood that $|j_1 \cdots j_p; \mu\rangle = 0$ if the corresponding weight does not belong to the weight diagram of μ. Application of Cartan generators and simple roots is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_j|j_1\cdots j_p;\mu\rangle &= \lambda^{(p+1)}_{j;\mu}|j_1\cdots j_p;\mu\rangle \\
x_{-\alpha_j}|j_1\cdots j_p;\mu\rangle &= |j_1\cdots j_p j;\mu\rangle \\
x_{\alpha_j}|j_1\cdots j_p;\mu\rangle &= \sum_{q=1}^{p}\delta_{j,j_q}\lambda^{(q)}_{j;\mu}|j_1\cdots \hat{j}_q\cdots j_p;\mu\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Here the hat denotes omission and

$$\lambda^{(q)}_{j;\mu} \equiv \mu_j - \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} k_{jj_m} \tag{4.20}$$

Bäcklund conjugate variables are defined as

$$\langle 0;\mu|\psi|0;\mu\rangle \exp\psi_{j_1\cdots j_p;\mu} = \langle j_1\cdots j_p;\mu|\psi|0;\mu\rangle \tag{4.21}$$

From sandwiching the Lax equations between the states $\langle j_1\cdots j_p;\mu|$ and $|0;\mu\rangle$, we get the Bäcklund transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_z^{(0)}\left(\psi_{j_1\ldots j_p;\mu} - \sum_{q=1}^{p}\phi_{j_q}\right) + ip\omega_z + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\Big[\exp\{\phi_i + \psi_{j_1\ldots j_p i;\mu} - \psi_{j_1\ldots j_p;\mu}\} - \exp\{\phi_i + \psi_{i;\mu}\}\Big] = 0 \\
&D_{\bar{z}}^{(0)}\left(\psi_{j_1\ldots j_p;\mu} + \sum_{q=1}^{p}\phi_{j_q}\right) + ip\omega_{\bar{z}} + \sum_{q=1}^{p}\lambda^{(q)}_{j_q;\mu}\exp\{\phi_{j_q} + \psi_{j_1\ldots\hat{j}_q\ldots j_p;\mu} - \psi_{j_1\ldots j_p;\mu}\} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

For $SU(n) = G$ and μ the fundamental representation, the rank of the group is precisely the dimension of the fundamental representation -1, so that the number of Toda fields ϕ_i and the number of Bäcklund fields ψ_i is the same. In this case, we have a Bäcklund transformation in the usual sense.

A few remarks are in order for the case of Kac–Moody algebras, when the Cartan matrix has a zero eigenvalue. In this case, equation (4.9) is not invertible for the $U(1)$ connections ω^i, and by the same token its validity requires already a condition on the fields ϕ. Denote n^i the zero eigenvector of k, then we must have

$$0 = \omega\rho_i n^i + e^a\epsilon_a{}^b D_b\phi \tag{4.23}$$

where ϕ was defined in (4.13). Equation (4.23) always implies (4.13), but the reverse is only true in general on compact surfaces, where the Laplacian is invertible up to a constant. Furthermore, condition (4.23) also imposes a restriction on the background geometry. It is not in general true that ω is the curl of a single valued scalar field ϕ. This requires that all its 1–cycles are trivial on the surface. Of course, if ϕ is not assumed to be single valued, then this restriction does not apply.

For the Kac–Moody case, there is another Lax pair realization, which we shall now discuss.† We start with an ordinary Lie algebra G of rank r, and Cartan matrix k_{ij} and we retain all the simple positive and negative roots, plus the highest positive and negative roots, with all other roots zero:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\alpha_i} &= e^{z} \exp \phi_i & \qquad e^{-\alpha_i} &= e^{\bar{z}} \exp \phi_i \\ e^{\gamma} &= i e^{\bar{z}} \exp \phi & \qquad e^{-\gamma} &= i e^{z} \exp \phi \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

where α_i are the simple positive roots $i = 1, \cdots, r$ and γ is the highest root: $\gamma = \sum\limits_i \gamma^i \alpha_i$. With this Ansatz, the torsion equations in (4.8) are still linear in the frame fields, and require

$$i \sum_j \omega^j k_{ji} = \omega \rho_i + e^a \epsilon_a{}^b D_b \phi_i \tag{4.25a}$$

$$i \sum_{i,j} \omega^j k_{ji} \gamma^i = -\omega - e^a \epsilon_a{}^b D_b \phi \tag{4.25b}$$

Here, since k is the Cartan matrix of a finite dimensional algebra, (4.25a) may always be solved for ω^j. Equation (4.25b) on the other hand represents a constraint for the field ϕ.

There are r curvature equations for the fields ϕ_i, which read:

$$\Delta_g \phi_j - R_g \rho_j + \sum_i e^{2\phi_i} k_{ij} + \sum_i e^{2\phi} \beta^i k_{ij} = 0 \tag{4.26}$$

where we have defined the vector β from the highest root commutator:

$$[x_\gamma, x_{-\gamma}] = \sum_i \beta^i h_i. \tag{4.27}$$

The first order differential equation (4.25b) implies a second order equation, analogous to (4.26):

---

† A very similar construction was discussed in [17].

$$\Delta_g \phi - R_g - \sum_{i,j} e^{2\phi_i} k_{ij} \gamma^j - \sum_{i,j} e^{2\phi} \beta^i k_{ij} \gamma^j = 0 \tag{4.28}$$

Introducing the following $r + 1$ dimensional quantities:

$$\begin{gathered} \phi_I = (\phi_I, \phi) \qquad \rho_I = (\rho_i, 1) \qquad \beta^I = \big(\beta^i, -1\big) \\ k_{IJ} = \left(\begin{array}{r|l} k_{ij} & -\sum_\ell k_{i\ell} \gamma^\ell \\ \hline \sum_\ell \beta^\ell k_{\ell j} & -\sum_{\ell,m} \beta^\ell k_{\ell m} \gamma^m \end{array} \right) \end{gathered} \tag{4.29}$$

we may recast the Toda equation in the standard form

$$\Delta_g \phi_I - R_g \rho_I + \sum_J e^{2\phi_J} k_{JI} = 0 \tag{4.30}$$

However, the Cartan matrix k now has one eigenvector with zero eigenvalue

$$\sum_I \beta^I k_{IJ} = 0$$

with all other eigenvalues positive. In fact, k is the Cartan matrix of the Kac–Moody algebra extension of G. Namely, $2k_{IJ} = (\alpha_I \cdot \alpha_J)/(\alpha_I \cdot \alpha_I)$, where $\alpha_0 \equiv \sum_i \gamma^i \alpha_i$. Lax pair and Bäcklund transformations are readily deduced from (4.6) and (4.24), (4.25).

5. Super–Liouville Theory.

Two–dimensional $N = 1$ supergeometry is defined by[†] [18] a frame $E_M{}^A$ and a $U(1)$ connection Ω_M. The coordinates are denoted $(\xi, \overline{\xi}, \theta, \overline{\theta})$ where $\xi, \overline{\xi}$ are commuting and $\theta, \overline{\theta}$ are anticommuting. We will work with functions of these variables called "superfields", which may be expanded in terms of its anticommuting coordinates. A superfield $F(\xi,\bar{\xi},\theta,\bar{\theta})$, for instance, may be expanded as

---

[†] Einstein indices are denoted by $M, N, \cdots$, and run over the coordinates $\xi, \bar{\xi}, \theta, \bar{\theta}$; frame indices are denoted by $A = (a, \alpha)$, $a = z, \bar{z}$ and $\alpha = \pm$. We have $(\gamma^z)_{++} = (\gamma^{\bar{z}})_{--} = 1$, $\epsilon_z{}^z = -\epsilon_{\bar{z}}{}^{\bar{z}} = i$ and $\epsilon_+{}^+ = -\epsilon_-{}^- = i/2$.

$$F(\xi,\bar{\xi},\theta,\bar{\theta}) = f(\xi,\bar{\xi}) + \theta\psi(\xi,\bar{\xi}) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\psi}(\xi,\bar{\xi}) + \theta\bar{\theta}\hat{f}(\xi,\bar{\xi})$$

Super–covariant derivatives on superfields of $U(1)$ weight n are defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_A^{(n)} = E_A{}^M(\partial_M + in\Omega_M) \tag{5.1}$$

Torsion and curvature forms are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T^A &= dE^A + E^B \wedge \Omega\epsilon_B{}^A = \frac{1}{2}E^c \wedge E^B T_{BC}{}^A \\ R &= d\Omega = \frac{1}{2}E^B \wedge E^A R_{AB} \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

or in terms of structure relation:

$$[\mathcal{D}_A,\mathcal{D}_B]_{\pm}^{(n)} = T_{AB}{}^C\mathcal{D}_C + inR_{AB} \tag{5.3}$$

The standard $N=1$ supergravity torsion constraints are

$$T_{ab}{}^c = T_{\alpha\beta}{}^\gamma = 0 \qquad\qquad T_{\alpha\beta}{}^c = 2(\gamma^c)_{\alpha\beta} \tag{5.4}$$

These constraints are left invariant under super Weyl transformations given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_M{}^a &= \exp(\Phi)\hat{E}_M{}^a \\ E_M{}^\alpha &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi\right)\left(\hat{E}_M{}^\alpha + \hat{E}_M{}^a(\gamma_a)^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{D}_\beta\Phi\right) \\ \Omega_M &= \hat{\Omega}_M + \hat{E}_M{}^a\epsilon_a{}^b\hat{\mathcal{D}}_b\Phi + \hat{E}_M{}^\alpha\epsilon_\alpha{}^\beta\hat{\mathcal{D}}_\beta\Phi \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

In general torsion and curvature transform as

$$T_a = \exp(\Phi)\hat{T}^a \qquad T^\alpha = \exp(\frac{1}{2}\Phi)\hat{T}^\alpha \qquad R_{+-} = \exp(-\Phi)(\hat{R}_{+-} - 2i\hat{\mathcal{D}}_+\hat{D}_-\Phi) \tag{5.6}$$

When R_{+-} is set to a constant in (5.6), the second line becomes the Liouville equation on the field Φ, assuming that the torsion constraints (5.4) are satisfied on the background geometry.

Topological supergravity is based on the gauge (super) group $OSp(1,1)$ [19]. It is convenient to rewrite its structure relations as

$$[J_3, J_A] = -i\epsilon_A{}^B J_B; \quad [J_A, J_B]_\pm = \Gamma_{AB}{}^C J_C + \Gamma_{AB}{}^3 J_3 \tag{5.7}$$

where the structure constants are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}{}^c = 2(\gamma^c)_{\alpha\beta}; \quad \Gamma_{\alpha b}{}^\gamma = -\Gamma_{b\alpha}{}^\gamma = \mu(\gamma_b)_\alpha{}^\gamma; \\ &\Gamma_{z\bar{z}}{}^3 = -\Gamma_{\bar{z}z}{}^3 = -2\mu^2; \quad \Gamma_{+-}{}^3 = \Gamma_{-+}{}^3 = 2\mu \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

all other components vanish. We now introduce $OSp(1,1)$ valued gauge fields, decomposed onto frame and connection as follows:

$$\mathcal{A} = -i\Omega J_3 + E^A J_A \tag{5.9}$$

The curvature form decomposes as follows:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left(T^A + \frac{1}{2}E^C \wedge E^B \Gamma_{BC}{}^A\right) J_A - i\left(R + \frac{i}{2}E^C \wedge E^B \Gamma_{BC}{}^3\right) J_3 \tag{5.10}$$

From (5.6), it is now clear that $\mathcal{F} = 0$ corresponds to the super–Liouville equations with $R_{+-} = \mu$.

To construct the Lax pair, we let $OSp(1,1)$ act on a triplet $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)^T$ transforming under the fundamental representation. The equations

$$(\partial_M + \mathcal{A}_M)\,\Psi = 0 \tag{5.11}$$

are integrable precisely when $\mathcal{F} = 0$, which is just the super-Liouville equation, plus the $N = 1$ torsion constraints; thus (5.11) is the Lax pair for this system. To exhibit the super–Liouville field explicitly, we perform a super–Weyl transformation (5.5), and we obtain the following projections onto frame indices:

$$\left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_\alpha - i\hat{\Omega}_\alpha J_3 + J_\alpha \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi\right) - i(\gamma_5)_\alpha{}^\beta \hat{\mathcal{D}}_\beta \Phi J_3\right)\psi = 0 \tag{5.12a}$$

$$\left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}^{(0)}_a - i\hat{\Omega}_a J_3 + \exp(\Phi) J_a + (\gamma_a)^{\alpha\beta}\hat{\mathcal{D}}_\beta \Phi \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi\right) J_\alpha - i\epsilon_a{}^b \hat{\mathcal{D}}_b \Phi J_3\right)\psi = 0 \tag{5.12b}$$

By squaring the differential operator in (5.12a) and using the torsion constraints, one precisely recovers (5.12b), so that we just retain (5.12a) as the basic Lax pair.

To construct the Bäcklund transformation, we introduce the inhomogeneous coordinates

$$\exp\Sigma = \frac{\psi_2}{\psi_1} \qquad\qquad \eta = \frac{\psi_3}{\sqrt{\psi_1\psi_2}} \tag{5.13}$$

assuming that ψ_1 and ψ_2 are of even and ψ_3 of odd grading; (5.12a) now decomposes as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathcal{D}}_+^{(0)}\Sigma + i\hat{\Omega}_+ - \hat{\mathcal{D}}_+\Phi = \sqrt{\lambda_z}\eta\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma\right)\\
&\hat{\mathcal{D}}_-^{(0)}\Sigma + i\hat{\Omega}_- + \hat{\mathcal{D}}_-\Phi = \sqrt{\lambda_{\bar{z}}}\eta\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma\right)\\
&\hat{\mathcal{D}}_+^{(0)}\eta = -\sqrt{\lambda_z}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma\right)\\
&\hat{\mathcal{D}}_-^{(0)}\eta = -\sqrt{\lambda_{\bar{z}}}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

The system of equations (5.14) is integrable provided Φ satisfies the super–Liouville equation, whereas Σ satisfies a linear equations, analogous to (2.13):

$$2i\hat{\mathcal{D}}_+^{(-1/2)}\hat{\mathcal{D}}_-^{(0)}\Sigma = \hat{\mathcal{D}}_-^{(1/2)}\hat{\Omega}_+ - \hat{\mathcal{D}}_+^{(-1/2)}\hat{\Omega}_- \tag{5.15}$$

Lax pair (5.12a) and Bäcklund transformation (5.14) generalize those that were known on a background of two–dimensional flat space [20]. In turn, we see that the $OSp(1,1)$ Toda system on the plane with global $N = 1$ supersymmetry may be consistently coupled to $N = 1$ supergravity.

6. Toda Theory for Supergroups and $N = 1$ Supergeometry.

We shall now be interested in coupling Toda theory for supergroups G [21] to Riemannian geometry and $N = 1$ supergeometry. We begin by considering a G–valued connection:

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_i \Omega^i h_i + \sum_{\gamma\in\Delta} E^\gamma x_\gamma \tag{6.1}$$

with curvature equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F} = &\sum_i d\Omega^i h_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma\in\Delta} E^{-\gamma}\wedge E^\gamma [x_\gamma, x_{-\gamma}]\\
&+ \sum_{\gamma\in\Delta}\left[dE^\gamma + \sum_{i,j}\Omega^i k_{ij}\gamma^j \wedge E^\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma=\gamma'+\gamma''} E^{\gamma'}\wedge E^{\gamma''} N_{\gamma''\gamma'}\right] x_\gamma
\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

We shall again postulate the equation $\mathcal{F} = 0$ for G–gravity.

Two classes of supergroups G must be distinguished [22]. First, we have supergroups for which **all** simple positive roots may be chosen to have odd grading. In the Kac classification, the finite dimensional group with this property are $A(n, n-1), B(n-1, n), B(n, n), D(n+1, n), D(n, n)$ and $D(2, 1; \alpha)$. For these groups, Toda field theory in flat space is $N = 1$ supersymmetric and we shall see that in these cases, Toda field theory may be coupled to $N = 1$ supergravity in an invariant way.

The second class of supergroups are those for which at least one simple root must have even grading, *i.e.* all the others. Corresponding Toda field theories in flat space are not $N = 1$ supersymmetric, and they cannot be coupled to $N = 1$ supergravity in an invariant way. We shall couple them here only to ordinary gravity.

For supergroups in the first group, we use the convention that all simple roots are of odd grading and

$$N_{\alpha_i,\alpha_j} = N_{-\alpha_i,-\alpha_j} = 2$$

We recover $N = 1$ super Toda theory by setting

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{\alpha_i} &= \exp \frac{1}{2}\Phi_i \left[E^+ + (\mathcal{D}_+\Phi_i)E^z\right] \\
E^{-\alpha_i} &= \exp \frac{1}{2}\Phi_i \left[E^- + (\mathcal{D}_-\Phi_i)E^{\bar{z}}\right] \\
E^{\alpha_i+\alpha_j} &= \exp \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_i + \Phi_j)E^z \times \begin{cases} 2 & i \neq j \\ 1 & i = j \end{cases} \\
E^{-\alpha_i-\alpha_j} &= \exp \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_i + \Phi_j)E^{\bar{z}} \times \begin{cases} 2 & i \neq j \\ 1 & i = j \end{cases} \\
E^{\gamma} &= 0 \text{ if } |\eta(\gamma)| \geq 3.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

and where $\left(E^A, \Omega\right)$ is an arbitrary two dimensional supergeometry defined in (5.1). The torsion type equations are solved by

$$i \sum_j \Omega^j k_{ji} = \frac{1}{2}\Omega\rho_i + E^A J_A{}^B \mathcal{D}_B \Phi_i \tag{6.4}$$

with the supercomplex structure $J_A{}^B$ defined by

$$J_A{}^B = \delta_A{}^B \times \begin{cases} +i & A = z, + \\ -i & A = \bar{z}, - \end{cases} \tag{6.5}$$

The flatness condition $\mathcal{F}_{\pm\pm} = 0$ evaluated on (6.3) and (6.4) reduces to the torsion constraints of ordinary supergravity and $\mathcal{F}_{+-} = 0$ yields the Toda equation, coupled to a general $N=1$ supergravity background:

$$\mathcal{D}_-\mathcal{D}_+\Phi_i + \sum_j \exp(\Phi_j)k_{ji} - \frac{i}{2}\mathcal{R}_{+-}\rho_i = 0 \tag{6.6}$$

The other components of $\mathcal{F}_{AB} = 0$ are automatically satisfied using this Toda equation, the Jacobi identity and the torsion constraints. The Lax pair is easily written down in terms of ± components:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{D}_+^{(0)} + \sum_i \Omega_+^i h_i + \sum_i \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi_i\right) x_{\alpha_i}\right)\Psi = 0 \\ \left(\mathcal{D}_-^{(0)} + \sum_i \Omega_-^i h_i + \sum_i \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi_i\right) x_{-\alpha_i}\right)\Psi = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

Notice that these supergroups always contain the basic supergroup $OSp(1,1)$.

In the case of supergroups for which all simple roots cannot be chosen odd grading, we may couple Toda field theory only to ordinary gravity. In this case, it is still convenient to use the notation of supergravity and we embed gravity into supergravity by setting the gravitino and auxiliary fields to zero in Wess–Zumino gauge:

$$\mathcal{D}_+^{(n)} = \partial_\theta + \theta D_z^{(n)} - \frac{i}{2}\theta\bar{\theta}\omega_z\partial_{\bar{\theta}}; \qquad \mathcal{D}_-^{(n)} = \partial_{\bar{\theta}} + \bar{\theta} D_{\bar{z}}^{(n)} - \frac{i}{2}\theta\bar{\theta}\omega_{\bar{z}}\partial_\theta \tag{6.8}$$

The gauge field is of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_+ &= \sum_i \Omega_+^i h_i + \sum_{\alpha_i\in\Delta_s^{\mathrm{odd}}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi_i\right) x_{\alpha_i} + \sum_{\alpha_i\in\Delta_s^{\mathrm{even}}} \theta\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi_i\right) x_{\alpha_i} \\ \mathcal{A}_- &= \sum_i \Omega_-^i h_i + \sum_{\alpha_i\in\Delta_s^{\mathrm{odd}}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi_i\right) x_{-\alpha_i} + \sum_{\alpha_i\in\Delta_s^{\mathrm{even}}} \bar{\theta}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi_i\right) x_{-\alpha_i} \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Where Δ_s^{odd}, Δ_s^{even} denote the odd and even simple roots respectively. Analogous to the previous case, the zero curvature condition reduces to the Toda equation coupled to gravity

$$\mathcal{D}_-\mathcal{D}_+\Phi_i + \sum_{\alpha_j\in\Delta_s^{\mathrm{odd}}} \exp\{\Phi_j\}k_{ji} + \sum_{\alpha_j\in\Delta_s^{\mathrm{even}}} \theta\bar{\theta}\exp\{\Phi_j\}k_{ji} - \frac{i}{2}R_{+-}\rho_i = 0 \tag{6.10}$$

References

[1] C. Gardner, J. Greene, M. Kruskal and R. Miura, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19** (1967) 1095
P. D. Lax, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* **21** (1968) 647.
V. E. Zakharov and L. Faddeev, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **5** (1971) 18
V. E. Zakharov and A. B. Shabat, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **8** (1974) 43
L. D. Faddeev and L. A. Takhtajan, *"Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons"*, Springer, Berlin 1987.
A. Dold and B. Eckmann, ed. Lecture Notes in Mathematics *"Bäcklund Transformations"*, No. 515, Springer, 1974.
M.J. Ablowitz, H. Segur, *"Solitons and the Inverse Scattering Transform"*, Siam, Philadelphia 1981.

[2] E. Witten, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38** (1977) 121
M.F. Atiyah, R.S. Ward, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **55** (1977) 124

[3] J. Liouville, *J. Math Pure Appl.* **18** (1853) 71
E. D'Hoker and R. Jackiw, *Phys. Rev.* **D26** (1982) 3517
E. Braaten, T. Cutright, G. Ghandour and C. Thorn, *Ann. Phys.* (N.Y.) *147* (1983) 365.

[4] E. D'Hoker, *Phys. Lett.* **264B** (1991) 101.

[5] L. Castellani, R. D'Auria and P. Fré, in "Supersymmetry and Supergravity", Proc. XIX–th Winter School and Workshop on Theoretical Physics (Karpacz, 1983) ed. B. Milewski, World Scientific Publ.

[6] R. Jackiw in "Quantum Theory of Gravity", ed. S. Christensen, Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1984.
C. Teitelboim, *ibid.*
K. Isler and C. Trugenberger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63** (1989) 834.
A. H. Chamseddine and D. Wyler, *Phys. Lett.* **228B** (1989) 75.

[7] J. M. F. Labastida, M. Pernici and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B310** (1988) 611.
D. Montano and J. Sonnenschein, *Nucl. Phys.* **B313** (1990) 258; **B324** (1989) 348.
R. Myers and V. Periwal, *Nucl. Phys.* **B333** (1990) 536.
E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B340** (1990) 281
R. Dijkgraaf and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B342** (1990) 486.

[8] C. M. Hull, *Nucl. Phys.* **B364** (1991) 621; *Phys. Lett.* **B269** (1991) 257.
C. N. Pope, *"Lectures on W–Algebras and W–gravity"*, CTP–TAMU–103–91 (1991)
A. Gerasimov, A. Levin and A. Marshakov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B360**(1991) 537.

[9] K. Aoki and E. D'Hoker, *Nucl. Phys.* **B387** (1992) 576

[10] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *"Foundations of Differential Geometry"*, Vol. I (Wiley, New York, 1963).
S. Helgason, *"Differential Geometry, Lie Group and Symmetric Spaces"*, Academic Press (1978).

[11] D. Cangemi, R. Jackiw, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 233

[12] C.G. Callan, S.B. Giddings, J.A. Harvey, A. Strominger, *Phys. Rev.* **D45** (1992) 1005

[13] K. Li, Nucl. Phys. **B346** (1990) 329.
K. Schoutens, A. Sevrin and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Int. J. of Mod. Phys.* **A6** (1991) 2891.

[14] J.-L Gervais and Y. Matsuo, LPTENS–91/35 (1991), *Phys. Lett.* **274B** (1992) 309

[15] A. N. Leznov and M. V. Saveliev, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **3** (1979) 207.
P. Mansfield, *Nucl. Phys.* **B208** (1982) 277.

[16] M. V. Saveliev, *Phys. Lett.* **A122** (1987) 312.

[17] G.V. Dunne, R. Jackiw, S-Y. Pi, C.A. Trugenberger, *Phys. Rev.* **D43** (1991) 1332

[18] P. Howe, *J. Phys.* **A12** (1979) 393.
J. Wess and J. Bagger, *"Supersymmetry and Supergravity"*, Princeton (1983)
E. D'Hoker and D. H. Phong, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **60** (1988) 17.

[19] D. Montano, K. Aoki and J. Sonnenschein, *Phys. Lett.* **B247** (1990) 64.

[20] E. D'Hoker, *Phys. Rev.* **D28** (1983) 1346.

[21] M. A. Olshanetsky, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **88** (1983) 1205.
J. Evans and T. Hollowood, *Nucl. Phys.* **B352** (1991) 723.
T. Inami and H. Kanno, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **136** (1991) 543.

[22] J. E. Humphreys, *"Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory"*, Springer–Verlag (1972)
V. G. Kac, *"Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras"*, Cambridge University Press (1985)
V. G. Kac, *Adv. Math* **26** (1977) 8
D. A. Leites, M. V. Saveliev, V. V. Serganova, in *"Group Theoretical Methods in Physics"*, VNU Science Press (1986).